No. 9 (1)-82-PV-6Lab/10008.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947(Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Paridabad in respect of the Dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Proven Plastic (P) Ltd., 44 K.M. Mathura Road, Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRE M.C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL DRILLINGE HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

## Reference No 61/1981

## between

SHRI DEEP CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. PROVEN PLASTIC (P) LTD., 44 K.M. MATHURA ROAD, BALLABGARH.

Present:-

Shrì G. S. Chaudhry, for the workman.

Shri R. Gogna, for the management.

## **AWARD**

The Governor of Harpana referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Deep Chand and the management of M/s. Proven Plastic (P) Ltd., 44 K. M. Mathura Road, Ballabgarh by order No. ID/FD 1/81/8984 dated 20th February, 1981 to this Tribunal for a adjudication, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Deep Chand was justified and in order, if not, to what relief is he entitled?

Notices of the reference were sent to the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. So On the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed by my order 29th May, 1981:—

- (i) Whether the workman abandoned his job of his own?
- (2) Whether the dispute is not an industrial dispute?
- (3) Whether the reference is bad on account of error in describing the name of the managemnt?
- (4) Whether the termination of service of Shri Deep Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management who produced Shri Kamrudin as MW-1 Shri Kam edan as MW-2, Shri Samsudin workman as MW-3, Shrì Farukh workman as MW 4 and Shri Baldev Singh as MW-5 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman. The workman did not produce any evidence rather made a statement that he withdrew the present reference. In view of the statement of the workman, it is needless to discuss the evidence led by the management. Therefore, the reference is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to cost.

The 21st September, 1982.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Paridabad.

Endorsement No. 1020, dated the 22nd, September, 1982.

Forward (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chand garh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M.C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad,